



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/065,527	10/25/2002	Carl Michael Dennison	BLD920010034US2	2144		
33595 7	590 04/26/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION 9000 SOUTH RITA ROAD			HUNTSINGER, PETER K			
TUCSON, AZ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			2625	.		

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/065,527	DENNISON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter K. Huntsinger	2625	

	Peter K. Hur	itsinger	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the co	ver sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN	CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (tice of Appea	1) an amendment, aft (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX M (b). ONLY CHE	ONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the p tension and the shortened statu r than three mo	corresponding amount tory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof	(37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			Constitution and annual for	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration a	e date of filing a brief nd/or search (see NC	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for a	ppeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		g number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		hed Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if sul	omitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.	☐ will not be wided below o	e entered, or b)	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or o	n the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will no	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient re	asons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> ry and was no	ejections under appe t earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the statu	s of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT	place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08	or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:		Ky J.V.) -	
a Y. POC) N	KING Y. POON		

THY EXAMIN:

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On pages 9-11, the applicant challenges the definition within the art of a document as being not properly officially noticed and not properly based on common knowledge. The definition within the art of document is a writing that contains information. Support of this definition is found from www.dictionary.com